CS46 Homework 12

This homework is due at 11:59pm on Wednesday, April 27. Note the unusual deadline! This is a 14-point homework.

For this homework, you will work with a partner. It's ok to discuss approaches at a high level, but most of your discussions should just be with your partner. The only exception to this rule is work you've done with another student *while in lab*. In this case, note who you've worked with and what parts were solved during lab. Your partnership's write-up should be your own: do not share it, and do not read other people's write-ups. Please refer to the course webpage or ask me any questions you have about this policy.

1. Closure properties.

- (a) Prove that P is closed under concatenation.
- (b) Prove that P is closed under complement.
- (c) Prove that NP is closed under union.
- (d) Prove that NP is closed under concatenation.

2. Is (almost) everything NP-complete?

Show that if P = NP, then every language $A \in P$ is NP-complete except $A = \emptyset$ and $A = \Sigma^*$.

3. Vertex cover and independent set, again.

Recall that a **vertex cover** in a graph G is a subset of vertices where every edge of G has at least one endpoint in the subset.

VERTEXCOVER = { $\langle G, k \rangle \mid G$ has a k-node vertex cover }

Theorem 7.44 says that VERTEXCOVER is NP-COMPLETE.

An **independent set** in a graph G is a subset of vertices with no edges between them.

INDEPENDENTSET = { $\langle G, k \rangle \mid G$ contains an independent set of k vertices }

We will show that INDEPENDENTSET is NP-COMPLETE .

- (a) Prove that INDEPENDENTSET \in NP.
- (b) Prove that INDEPENDENTSET is NP-HARD. (Hint: reduce from VERTEXCOVER. This is *not* the same direction you did in lab, but you might be able to use the same idea as the core of your reduction.)

4. An NP-complete problem related to regular expressions.

A regular expression is *-free (pronounced "star-free") if it does not include any Kleene stars, so for example the regular expression " $(1 \cup 0)00$ " is *-free but " $0^*(1 \cup 11)$ " is not *-free. Consider the language:

 $L = \{ \langle R_1, R_2 \rangle \mid R_1 \text{ and } R_2 \text{ are *-free regular expressions and } L(R_1) \neq L(R_2) \}$

You will prove that L is NP-COMPLETE, using a reduction from SATISFIABILITY.

- (a) Show that $L \in NP$ by giving a deterministic polynomial-time verifier and describing the certificate ("extra information") strings it uses to check membership in L. (Make sure your verifier fits the definition of "verifier" correctly in particular, you need to make sure it can't be tricked into accepting by a bad certificate!)
- (b) Given a formula ϕ in conjunctive normal form, write a regular expression that matches the language:

 $\{w \mid w \text{ encodes a truth assignment for } \phi\}$

(c) Given a set of *n* literals $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$, consider the clause:

 $c = \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 \vee \cdots \vee \alpha_n$

Write a regular expression that matches the language:

 $\{w \mid w \text{ encodes a truth assignment which does not satisfy } c\}$

(d) Given a formula ϕ in conjunctive normal form, write a regular expression that matches the language:

 $\{w \mid w \text{ encodes a truth assignment which does not satisfy } \phi\}$

(Use part (c).)

- (e) Use parts (b) and (d) to give a polynomial-time reduction from SATISFIABILITY to L. Conclude that L is NP-COMPLETE. (**Hint:** If you want to, you may assume throughout this problem that formulas in SATISFIABILITY are always in conjunctive normal form.)
- 5. (extra credit) Show that if $P \cap NP$ -HARD $\neq \emptyset$, then P = NP.
- 6. (extra credit) Does CONP = NP? Support your answer with a proof.