CS41 Lab 11: Polynomial-Time Verifiers and Polynomial-Time Reductions

November 17, 2022

This week, we've started to understand what makes some problems seemingly hard to compute. In this lab, we'll consider an easier problem of *verifying* that an algorithm's answer is correct. Recall that a *decision problem* is a problem that requires a YES or NO answer. Alternatively, we can describe decision problem as a set $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$; think of L as the set of all YES inputs i.e., the set of inputs x such that one should output YES on input x. Let |x| denote the length of x, in bits.

Polynomial-time Verifiers. Call V an efficient verifier for a decision problem L if

- 1. V is a polynomial-time algorithm that takes two inputs: x, and w.
- 2. There is a polynomial function p such that for all strings $x, x \in L$ if and only if there exists w such that $|w| \le p(|x|)$ and V(x, w) = YES.

w is usually called the witness or certificate. Think of w as some proof that $x \in L$. For V to be a polynomial-time verifier, w must have size some polynomial of the input x. For example, if x represents a graph with n vertices and m edges, the length of w could be n^2 or m^3 or $(n+m)^{100}$ but not 2^n .

Consider this lab a **success** if you complete problems 1-3 and make progress on problems 4 and 5. Do not feel the need to formally write up solutions.

1. **Polynomial-time reductions.** In class yesterday, we saw the following lemma:

Lemma. For any graph G = (V, E), $S \subseteq V$ is a vertex cover if and only if $V \setminus S$ is an independent set.

Give the following polynomial-time reductions:

- (a) Vertex-Cover < P Independent-Set.
- (b) Independent-Set \leq_P Vertex-Cover.
- 2. Transitivity of polynomial-time reductions. Show the following:

If
$$A \leq_{P} B$$
 and $B \leq_{P} C$ then $A \leq_{P} C$.

3. Verifier Debugging. Consider the Three-Coloring problem: Given G = (V, E) return YES iff the vertices in G can be colored using at most three colors such that each edge $(u, v) \in E$ is bichromatic.

Consider the following verifier for Three-Coloring. The witness we request is a valid three coloring of the undirected graph G = (V, E), which is specified as a list of two-digit binary strings $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_k$ where we interpret

$$w_i = \begin{cases} 00, & \text{vertex } i \text{ is colored BLUE} \\ 01, & \text{vertex } i \text{ is colored GREEN} \\ 10, & \text{vertex } i \text{ is colored RED} \end{cases}$$

THREE COLORING VERIFIER (G = (V, E), w)1 for each w_i in w2 if $w_i = 11$ 3 return no

4 for j from i + 1 to len(w)5 if $w_i = w_j$ and $(i, j) \in E$ 6 return no

This verifier is not quite right.

return YES

Give an example witness w and graph G which is *not* three-colorable, such that

THREE COLORING VERIFIER
$$(G, w) = YES$$

- 4. Rewrite ThreeColoringVerifier so that it is a valid verifier for Three-Coloring.
- 5. Give polynomial-time verifiers for the following problems, none of which are known to have polynomial-time algorithms.
 - (a) Independent-Set.
 - (b) Vertex-Cover.
 - (c) SAT.
 - (d) Factoring. Given numbers n, k written in binary, output yes iff n is divisible by d for some $1 < d \le k$.
 - (e) Not-Factoring. Given numbers n, k written in binary, output yes iff n is **NOT** divisible by d for any $1 < d \le k$.

Hint: The following problem is solvable in polynomial time.¹

PRIMES: Given a number n written in binary, output YES iff n is a prime number.

6. Prove the Lemma from problem 1.

¹This actually wasn't known until 2002, when Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena created the AKS primality test. Kayal and Saxena were undergraduates at IIT Kanpur at the time; Agrawal was their advisor.